## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

United States of America  v.  Case No. 07cr724-01 (JEI)  ABRAHAM PONCE  Defendant  Defendant			
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL			
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these fac require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	ts		
Part I—Findings of Fact			
☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	d		
of $\Box$ a federal offense $\Box$ a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal			
jurisdiction had existed - that is			
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.			
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.			
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in			
.*			
a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:			
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:			
☐ a minor victim			
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon			
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250			
□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250 □ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.			
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a			
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.			
<ul> <li>□ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.</li> <li>□ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release</li> </ul>	ie 1.		
<ul> <li>□ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.</li> <li>□ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).</li> <li>□ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the</li> </ul>	ie n.		
<ul> <li>□ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.</li> <li>□ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).</li> <li>□ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption Alternative Findings (A)</li> </ul>	ne n.		
<ul> <li>□ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.</li> <li>□ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).</li> <li>□ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption Alternative Findings (A)</li> </ul>	ıe n.		

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Page 1 of 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

		District of New Jersey
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the the defendant's appearance and the	e presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure he safety of the community.
		Alternative Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the de	efendant will not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the de	efendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
;		Statement of the Reasons for Detention ation submitted at the detention hearing establishes by   clear and
	ng evidence □ a preponderance	•
Defenda applicab	nt consents to detention at this time le statute and case law.	without prejudice to his right to apply for bail in the future under the
	Part I	II—Directions Regarding Detention
in a correpending order of l	ections facility separate, to the exten appeal. The defendant must be affor	stody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement at practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody rded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility tes marshal for a court appearance.
Date:	11/29/2011	Judge's Signature
		Hon. Karen M. Williams, U.S.M.J.
		Name and Title